United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

						100
APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DAT	`E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/60	3,132	06/24/2003	3	James L. McNaughton	SU-7273	9882
2071 7590 06/22/2007 SIEBERTH & PATTY, LLC					EXAMINER	
4703 BLUEBONNET BLVD					CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
BATON ROUGE, LA 70809					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1761	
					F	
					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
					06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commen	10/603,132	MCNAUGHTON, JAMES L.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Arthur L. Corbin	1761					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)⊠	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-17,19-25,29-31,33,34,38-40,42-54,57 and 58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17,19-25,29-31,33,34,38-40,42-54,57,58 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the correction to the correction to the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to be added to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to be added t	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		·						
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e					

Application/Control Number: 10/603,132

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-17, 19-25, 29-31, 33, 34, 38-40, 42-54, 57 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Howarth patent in view of Hilgren et al as set forth in paragraph nos. 6-8, Paper No. 030606.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed May 29, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's reference to col. 19, lines 42-46 of Hilgren et al is not convincing inasmuch as this portion of Hilgren et al also refers to "toxic chlorinated compounds" and suggests using alternative compounds, as disclosed in Hilgren et al, in place of compounds that present such a toxic problem. However, according to col. 2, lines 20-30 of Hilgren et al, "toxic" refers to excessive chlorination when using hypochlorite as an antimicrobial. Reading both passages in conjunction would lead the skilled artisan to replace hypochlorite with one of the alternative antimicrobial compounds disclose in Hilgren et al. Other chlorine compounds, which are not considered toxic, are not discouraged from use by Hilgren et al. Even if applicant is correct in his conclusion that Hilgren et al teaches avoiding use of all chlorine compounds, Hilgren et al is still properly relied upon for the concept of using a spray probe to treat the inside of eviscerated poultry carcasses with an antimicrobial compound.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,132

Art Unit: 1761

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith D. Hendricks, can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1761

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

6-19-07